(1949) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. NO. 492

Dated 1-3-49

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grow More Food - N.W.S.S - 1948-49
South Kanara. S.No 166/1-ii Desaba
Village Mangalore Taluk - Subsidy
for Construction of a Tank by Maj &
Sujeer Pundalik Nayak - Sanction

Revenue Department.
Abstract.

Grow More Food - New Well Subsidy Scheme - 1948-49 - (South Kanara) - Mangalore Taluk - Derebail village - S.No.166/1 - Construction of a tank - Grant of subsidy loan - Sri Sujeer Pundalik Nayak - Application - Submitted.

Ref:No.V.Spl.5152/48-2.

Station:Chepauk, Madras. Dated 25th January 1949.



From

C.A. Ramakrishnan, Esq., I.C.S., Joint Secretary, Board of Revenue.

W.R.S. Sathianahtan, Esq., I.C.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue and Commercial Taxes.

Read the following:--

From the Collector of South Kanara, Ref:C.No.B6.11750-48, dated 27-9-48.
G.O.No.Ms.2840, Revenue, dated 27-11-1948.

---

ference:

Submitted to the Government.

2. The cost of the work referred to by the Collector in his tter cited above has been estimated at Rs.8,600/- by the Minor rigation Supervisor, South Kanara. The applicant has applied for subsidy loan of Rs.2,000/-. According to the reports of the bordinate officers that tank after completion will irrigate an tent of about 6 acres for the II crop. The Collector has, however, ported after personal inspection that the extent of 10 acres could be brought under cultivation in a period of 5 or 6 years. This is an off chance depending on the reclamation of further extents by the applicant. The additional extent that can be brought under irrigation immediately after completion is therefore only about 6 acres. The maximum subsidy admissible for an extent of over 5 acres and upto 10 acres is Rs.1,000/- according to G.C.Ms.No.2840, Revenue, dated 27-11-1948. The Collector is himself competent to sanction this amount. He is therefore being asked to sanction a subsidy of Rs.1,000/- to enable the applicant to start work.

The Board considers that in these days of acute food shortage a well of the kind and size proposed to be constructed by the applicant is certain to prove beneficial. Inview of the fact that the expenditure on the construction of the tank is estimated to be Rs.8600/- and that according to the Collector it may bring under cultivation a total extent of 10 acres ultimately the Board recommends that the Government may be pleased to sanction the maximum subsidy of Rs.2000/- to the applicant although the tank will not now irrigate the minimum extent of 10 acres.

3. The Collector's attention is invited to G.C.No.2840, Revenue dated 27-11-1948 and he is requested to sanction a subsidy of Rs.1000 to Sri Sujeer Pundalik Nayak for the construction of a tank in S.No. 166/1 of Derebail village, Mangalore Taluk, to enable him to start work immediately. As regards the petitioner's request for the grant of a subsidy of Rs.2000/-, the Board is addressing the Government in the matter. Their orders will be communicated to the Collect on receipt.

for Joint Secretary.

To The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Madras, (Whole), with records.
To The Collector of South Kanara. (Para 3 only).

VR/26-1-49.

Copy of ------ Ref.C.No.B6.11750-48, dated 27-9-48, from the Collector of South Kanara to the Secretary, Board of Revenue, Madras.

Grow More Food - New Well Subsidy Scheme - 1948-49 - South Kanara District - Mangalore Taluk - Derebail village - S.No.166/1 - Construction of a tank - Grant of subsidy loan - Application of Sri Sujeer Pundalik Nayak, Mangalore - Further proposals.

Ref: 1. Application dated 8-8-1947 of Sri Sujeer Pundalik Nayak, Land holder and Merchant, Mangalore.
2. Board's L.Dis.V.Spl.4411/47, dated 24-3-48.

I invite attention to Board's Reference cited above, in which I was informed that I might take up this case after the new scheme for 1948-49 has been introduced. The scheme for 1948-49 has been introduced in G.O.No.1082, Revenue, dated 6-5-48, but no mention is made therein regarding the improvement of spring ponds or construction of new tanks in place of the existing ponds. G.O.Ms.No.1815, Revenue, dated 31-7-48, communicated with Board's L.Dis.No.V.Spl.3934/48, dated 24-8-48 shows that the question of granting subsidies for spring ponds is under the separate consideration of the Government.

2. I inspected the pond in this case on 22-9-48 and my observations and proposals are as follows:

The wet lands viz., S.Nos.80/2 and 3 of Derebail village, owned by the applicant are paddy flats, carved out of the valley between two hillocks. There is a spring emerging from beneath the hillock in the northern portion of the applicant's holding at a spot shown in red square in the eyesketch in S.No.166/1. I understand that this is a perennial spring. At the moment 6.07 acres of I crop are being grown in the wet lands S.Nos.80/2 and 3.

It might be possible to treat this case as a spring pond and repair it on a contribution basis or the Government may pay the contribution under the New Well Subsidy Scheme to enable the spring pond to be harnessed for bringing additional food crops under cultivation.

3. In my revised proposals submitted to the Board with Ref.No.B6.10132-48, dated 2-9-48, with reference to Board's Ref:No.V.Spl.3955/48-1, dated 24-8-48, I have stated that only new tanks or new wells should be eligible for subsidy. Even so, the construction in this case will have to be done ab initio from the excavation of the foundations, revetment and other ancilliary works. They are not very different from what would have been the case, were a new tank to be constructed on this site. Under the circumstances, the Board may see no objection to treat this case as a new tank and grant subsidy in the scale recommended in my revised proposals referred to above. The cost of the proposed work with measurement of 100' X 100' X 15' has been estimated at Rs.8600/- by the Minor Irrigation Supervisor, South Kanara.

4. The Board in its Ref:No.V.Spl.4411/47-1, dated 18-12-47 observed that the proposed tank in this case will not irrigate any additional extent but will serve only as a supplemental source of water supply to an extent of 6.07 acres of land already registered as double crop wet but on which only one crop was being raised. The extract from the A. register which is in the file will show that the S.Nos.80/2 and 3 stand registered as single crop wet lands only. Hence growing a II crop on these wet lands, will result in irrigating an additional extent of 6.07 acres. It might also be possible for the applicant to reclaim another 1½ to 2½ acres, here and there, at the foot of S.Nos.165, 132 and 167/2 along the northern and southern boundaries of S.Nos. 80/2 and 3 after a considerable expenditure of money. I

would expect that the process of reclamation will take anything between 3 to 5 years. Ultimately it might be possible to raise 7½ to 8 acres of II crop and about 3 to 4 acres of III crop. Alternatively by growing certain fruit crops which will be standing for some faslis, together on the ground he might be able to satisfy the 10 acres rule. But it will take some time.

5. This case incidentally illustrates my point that in this district it is impossible to have 10 acres of contiguous land for irrigation from tanks and therefore the modified scheme suggested by me requires the earnest consideration of the Board.

6. I would even go further and suggest that the Special Officer for wells, Mr. T.S. Ramachandran may like to inspect this particular case and make such recommendations as he considers fit to the Board. This District is not likely to benefit much from this scheme with all its conditions and restrictions as they stand now.

7. The records of this case (p.p. 1 to 38 and 57 to 66 c.f.) are enclosed for perusal and return.

(Sd.) .. ..
for Collector.

/True Copy/

for Joint Secretary.

Rev. Deptt.

pp 1-3 CF
No. 5405 E/69)

From the Bd. of Rev. Rep. V. Spl.
No. 5152/48-2 dt 25.1.69.

G.O. Ms. No. 492 dt 1.3.69.

The Govt. sanction, as a special case, the grant of a loan (Rupees Two thousand) subsidy of Rs. 2000 to Sri. Sujeer Pundalik Nayak for the construction of a tank in S. No. 166/1 of Berebail village, Mangalore taluk, South Kanara district. The grant will be subject, ~~to the conditions~~ additional in addition to the usual conditions, to the special condition that not less than ten acres should be brought under irrigation within three years.

Bd. of Rev
Coll. of
S. Kanara.
(with records
dated 16/2/69
and with
5/3/69

16.2.69.

:ND 5405E/49 Subject: G.M.F. – N.W.S.S – 1948-49 ...th Kanara – S.No 166/1 in Derabail ...llage, Mangalore Taluk – Subsidy for ...onstruction of a Tank by Sri Sujeer Pundalik Vayak.

...rom the Board of Revenue No V Spl/5152/48-1 dated 25.1.

H.L.L Cf

A.S

Please see Board's reference at Pag

2/. The petitioner, Sri Sujeer Pundalik Nayak has applied for a subsidy of Rs 2000/. for ~~Consider~~ construct of a tank ~~on~~ in S.No 166/1 at a cost of ~~of~~ Rs 86 The tank on completion will irrigate an extent of 6 acres and will ~~cause~~ enable a second crop to be raised in this area. Rev.

3/. As per G.O. 2840 ~~the~~ dated 27-11-48 a subsidy of Rs 1000/. can be sanctioned by Collectors in cases where the irrigable capacity is ~~less~~ between 5 and 10 acres inclusive of acreages under a second or third crop. Under para 4 of G.O. 1082 dated 6-5-48, the collectors and the Board of Revenue can sanction subsidies up to limits of Rs 1000/. and Rs 2000/ respectively in those cases where the construction or repair of tanks (old or new) in South Kanara and Malabar exceeds the cost of Rs 1000/. Govt sanction has been applied for apparently because the tank will not irrigate an extent of 10 acres at present. The special reasons adduced by the Board of Revenue in recommending sanction of an enhanced subsidy of Rs 2000/. (as against Rs 1000/. admissible under the rules) are (1) that in these days of acute food scarcity a tank of the kind and size proposed to be sunk is certain to prove beneficial

the long run and (2) that expenditure estimated at Rs 8,600. The land which expected to be brought under irrigation this tank will have to be reclaimed d it is expected to take 3 or 5 years fter this time it is reported that the ank will be useful to raise 7½ to 8 cres of II crop and about 3 to 4 acres of I crop. But no assurance has been btained from the applicant that he will claim the remaining lands in 3 or 5 years. is therefore for orders whether an leased subsidy of Rs 2000/- may be ctioned as a special case subject to additional condition that not less than 10 acres should be brought nder irrigation within 3 years.

4. Finance may see for emarks before circulation

5/2/49

5/2/49

8/2/49

Rev. 4 No 5405/87 L/4

Finance.

Fin (Exp. A)

Please see the notes on pages 1-2 above.

Finance may agree to the proposal at 'A' above.

12.2.49

18/2/49 Rev.

Rev Dept.

To Rs.

Please see notes from pp. 1-2 above. A draft order is submitted for approval. The

Fin(Exp) 7954/Exp.A/49, dt. 13.2.49

ASSISTANT SECRETARY GOVERNMENT 7954 8 FEB 1949 Exp.A. FINANCE DEPARTMENT

ADDITIONAL ASST. SECRETARY 9165 14 FEB GOVERNMENT

Fwd to H.Pr. 3

16.2.49

16.2.49

D.S



Htm (Ind) 17/2/49